Asia-Pacific Chiropractic Journal

CLINICALEXPOSITION

Is the human body a musical instrument that needs to be precisely tuned?

David Walls-Kaufman

Narrative: A 2026 paper by Takafumi Shiga in the Asia-Pacific Chiropractic Journal proposed a new examination of vertebral subluxation as an attractor-state phenomenon. (1) The present paper builds upon that contribution and asks several broader questions based on the body of additional work out of Chiropractic BioPhysics® (CBP®): Is enough data compiled now to begin to regard the human frame as a musical instrument that needs to be precisely tuned? Is much of the subtle information processing and physiology through any distorted region in some way as responsive as acoustics are to precise structure and tension in a musical instrument? Might the human body possess an innate, mathematically describable structural optimum, a whole made from its spinal axis and its periphery, or a bounded range of optima, such that departure from that set is acknowledged to impose increasing regional and whole-body biomechanical and biological cost? And wherever one of these detunings occurs, might each count as an endogenous stress that, like a detuned musical instrument, does not fully resolve until the structural component is addressed?

However no accepted integrated mathematical model of an optimal or low-cost whole-body skeleton has been identified to the knowledge of this author.

This paper proposes the Human Musical Instrument Hypothesis and a preliminary Human Geometric Envelope: a bounded, scale-adjusted multidimensional model of structural relationships within which compensatory demand is minimised. It suggests the introduction of a comprehensive Human Geometric Deviation Index and a structural-cost function incorporating deviation, duration, loading, resilience and relevant covariates. The proposal is testable: increasing departure from the envelope should help predict increasing muscular expenditure, altered loading, impaired movement and sensorimotor performance, tissue stress, degeneration, pain, disability and less favourable aging trajectories; measurable structural correction should reduce at least some of these costs. Wolff's law, the Hueter-Volkmann principle and modern mechanobiology provide plausible routes through which structural configuration can influence biological information across scales. Light and sound likewise demonstrate the general principle that physical signals are transduced into neural codes; Smith and Lewicki's auditory-coding work and the established visual neural-coding literature make that proposition uncontroversial.

Indexing terms: Chiropractic; Subluxation; body as musical instrument; Human Geometric Envelope; Chiropractic BioPhysics.

Introduction

Is structural tuning a fundamental requirement of health, aging and longevity? And is that tuning vulnerable to stress, and then correctable?

Shiga's attractor-state model offers an important conceptual opening: an altered spinal state may be locally stable while biologically suboptimal; the subluxation is a new best-case scenario that the body must live with because in-body leverage mechanics do not exist to correct the injury. (1) This useful restatement of what a subluxation is begs the supposition that a whole-body 'ideal' may be definable. If this optimality envelope exists, can it be constructed broadly enough to accommodate legitimate human variation, yet sufficiently constrained that departure carries measurable cost and predicts consequences in ways that are legible to a wider audience, even a lay audience?

… An instrument does not compensate; its performance changes. A living organism does compensate. That distinction makes anatomical detuning less visible, not less consequential …'

For more than two centuries, anatomy books, orthopedics, radiology and impairment medicine have engaged in a rich study of quantifying even minute relationships among bones, joints, mechanical axes, spinal curves, posture and function. The AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment further demonstrate that minute structural and functional alterations are exactingly quantifiable. (2) The field of Chiropractic has introduced into the discussion thousands of cases since the 1910s arguing that structural well-being is a field woefully understudied and underfunded. Since the 1990s, Chiropractic BioPhysics® has stepped up with impressive cross-disciplinary data developing mathematical models of spinal geometry and published evidence that selected structural displacements can not only affect a wide array of health and resilience measures, but that even dramatic postural displacements, once thought immovable and hopeless, can be measurably corrected, with benefits that track with the extent of correction. (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)

Musical instruments such as violin, guitar, trumpet, and tuba depend for what they are and what they do upon exact relationships among their parts. When sufficiently detuned, the instrument becomes a laughable facsimile of itself; detuning can even become progressively painful to hear.

> The instrument does not compensate; its performance changes. A living organism does compensate. That distinction makes anatomical detuning less visible, not less consequential.

Muscles, ligaments, joints, connective tissues and neural control attempt to preserve upright function despite structural displacement, but compensation itself requires energy and may engage pathological pathways that are well understood.

Donald Harrison, Deed Harrison, Paul Oakley, Jason Haas and the broader CBP family have produced mathematical spinal models and clinical research that have made structural optimality and correction not only experimentally approachable but, alongside the body of physiological investigation, increasingly predictive. (3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12)

DD Palmer's concept of tone treated normal tension and functional balance as fundamental to health. (13)

A Human Musical Instrument Hypothesis may be understood as an attempt to extend that early intuition into a modern, mathematically testable account of structural tuning. Pettibon methods, upper-cervical procedures and other Chiropractic traditions have also treated structural

relationships as clinically meaningful. Kent has illustrated the structural-aging question by observing how, in a spine where each vertebra is the same chronological age, the faster aging of degenerative joint disease clusters progressively in the misaligned or mechanically stressed cervical segments. Kent highlights a well-studied phenomenon.

Based on what is known at least from the Harrison contributions on the spine, the hypothesis does not assert one rigid peripheral skeleton for every person at scale. CBP has demonstrated that the constraints for spinal architecture appear far more constrained than those likely to be found in peripheral modelling. (3, 4, 5) We know that different peripheral body types are more or less advantageous across many sports, sports positions and types of employment, all of which offer demanding testing grounds.

> Hence, the proposal is that human structural variation is bounded, relativity matters a great deal at scale, and form remains a fundamental but incompletely integrated determinant of health, aging and longevity. Why not sandwich these two tremendous fields of knowledge into the first attempt to model the entirety mathematically?

Outside Chiropractic and bioengineering circles, the clinical significance of spinal structure has remained controversial, yet regional orthopedic geometry is canonical in conservative medicine. (14, 15) What appears absent is not measurement but synthesis. Medicine may therefore have spent more than a century constructing, piece by piece, much of a map of normal and abnormal human structure without asking whether those pieces comprise a single integrated whole. Pelvic, hip, knee, ankle and foot geometry alter loading through linked kinetic chains, and their integrated actions are highly predictive. (14, 15) A whole-body bioengineering perspective likely means that no important relationship is presumed independent merely because anatomical and medical specialties have historically measured them separately. It also likely means that each misalignment is an endogenous perturbation that may contribute its weight to a deleterious whole cost.

The mathematical proposition

The central claim is not that one perfect skeleton exists, but that the Harrison model demonstrates that much tighter parameters may define spinal optimality. We will probably find wider parameters in the periphery, but there may still be a complexity envelope of bounded constraint. A bounded low-cost regime may exist within a multidimensional structural space.

Let the measured structural state of an individual be represented by the vector

$$g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)^T$$

where components may include validated spinal, pelvic and lower-extremity measures; translations and rotations; segmental and regional angles; mechanical axes; relative dimensions; disc and vertebral geometry; limb-length relationships; and clinically useful “slope-and-run” descriptions of how one landmark relates to another.

Let

$$g^* = \left(g_1^*, g_2^*, \ldots, g_n^*\right)^T$$

represent a reference state or empirically derived low-cost centre. The deviation vector is

$$\Delta g = g - g^*.$$

A preliminary Human Geometric Deviation Index is then

$$D_H = \sqrt{\Delta g^T W \Delta g},$$

where W is a positive semidefinite weighting matrix.

This formulation is intentionally more informative than a sum of isolated abnormalities. The diagonal terms of W weight the relative importance, reliability and scale of individual variables. Its off-diagonal terms represent interactions such as these: the consequence of forward-head translation will depend upon cervical curvature and thoracic position; pelvic translation will interact with lumbar configuration, limb length and lower-extremity axes. The interaction term is therefore not conceptually optional. A human frame is a linked system, and the same local measurement may have different cost depending upon the geometry surrounding it.

The Human Geometric Envelope is proposed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \{g : D_H \leq \tau\},$$

where τ is an empirically determined boundary within which unnecessary compensatory cost remains relatively low. The envelope for different joints could be elliptical, asymmetric, age-dependent or piecewise rather than spherical. It may contain several locally efficient configurations rather than one point. The mathematical form should be decided by data, not imposed by analogy. The biological cost of structural deviation can be represented provisionally as

$$C_S = f\left(D_H, t, L, R, c\right),$$

where:

- C_S is structural or biological cost;
- D_H is geometric deviation;
- t is duration of exposure;
- L represents loading magnitude, direction, repetition and duty cycle;
- R represents adaptive reserve, resilience or recovery capacity; and
- c represents validated covariates and confounders.

Relevant components of c may include sex, age, ligamentous density or laxity, muscularity, adiposity, bone density, developmental variation, prior injury, occupation and activity, medical history and previous stress exposures, and individual inherent neuroendocrine variation in healing, response and pain sensibility. A crucial research distinction is whether a variable changes the position or shape of the optimal envelope itself, or merely changes tolerance to departure from it. Greater muscularity, for example, may increase capacity to compensate without making the displaced

geometry intrinsically lower-cost. One variable as yet largely unquantifiable is how much of a role a hormetic buffer will play in any equation.

Time must also carry explicit weight. A modest but persistent deviation may generate greater cumulative cost than a larger transient deviation. The simplest testable expectations are

$$\frac{\partial C_S}{\partial D_H} > 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial C_S}{\partial t} > 0$$

outside an appropriate physiological or hormetic range. More realistically, cost may be nonlinear, with thresholds, plateaus, interactions and delayed consequences. Nonlinearity may emerge due to unforeseen peculiarities in certain joints or tissues, or incomplete aggregates of relevant facts. These possibilities do not weaken the model; they define the research program.

The Human Geometric Envelope is therefore not a declaration of an anatomical ideal. It is a candidate mathematical object to be estimated, challenged and revised as more data accrues. Its value lies in converting an old intuition - form matters - into quantitative questions that provide a stronger identity to an as-yet scattered, unsynthesised critical field of bioengineering as it relates to neurology and stress.

Stress, compensation and endogenous structural load

Stress perturbs bounded biological organisation. The living system initially absorbs, redistributes or compensates for that perturbation. Both stress and the body have an integrity that they bring to the conflict. In a manner of speaking, stress 'pushes' the target area out of physiological, structural and informational true. One hypothesis introduced here is that any embodied stress intrusion, if important enough, will reach a threshold at which it alters the local or regional structural domain. Probably, this structural component will be the last to yield to the stress because of its obvious durability and its responsibility for the physical integrity of that zone.

When compensation becomes embodied in a persistent suboptimalisation of structure that cannot be corrected, the original external stressor may disappear while the altered loading remains and becomes endogenous:

stress → compensation → structural deviation → endogenous stress → further compensation/remodelling

Once an external stressor produces a persistent alteration of internal organisation, the resulting endogenous stress may outlast the initiating event, operate continuously, recruit secondary feedback processes and contribute substantially to ultimate biological injury. In myocardial ischemia-reperfusion models, internally generated secondary mechanisms may account for as much as 40-50% of final infarct size. (16)

Secondary injury after spinal-cord trauma can continue for weeks or months, (17) and experimental loading studies show greater tissue damage with two hours of loading than with ten minutes. (18)

> Most of the Chiropractic literature can be viewed as the study of this level of phenomenon. These findings do not establish a universal endogenous/exogenous ratio; they establish the biological importance of persistence, feedback and duration. Chronic allostatic processes likewise carry cumulative energetic cost. (19)

Wolff's law, the Hueter-Volkmann principle and modern mechanobiology show that tissues respond to their loading environments. (20, 21, 22, 23) Cells detect tension, compression, shear, stiffness and deformation through mechanosensing and convert them through mechanotransduction into biochemical and electrical activity. (22, 23, 24, 25, 26) Integrins, focal adhesions, mechanosensitive ion channels, cytoskeletal force transmission, nuclear signalling and mechanical memory provide plausible routes through which structural configuration can influence biological information across scales. (25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31)

> Light and sound likewise demonstrate the general principle that physical signals are transduced into neural codes; Smith and Lewicki's auditory-coding work and the established visual neural-coding literature make that proposition uncontroversial. (32, 33, 34)

This does not mean that every displacement produces systemic disease. It means that physical distortions and the structural domain are probably always important because they may signal the severest level of perturbation at the scale of injury. A material framework probably necessarily changes physical relationships within that framework, since under conservation of matter and energy, altered geometry cannot be informationally or energetically free. The magnitude and clinical importance of that change remain empirical questions.

Disc height, stiffness and correctability

A hallmark of anatomical severity has traditionally been disc height, which depends substantially upon movement pumping, hydration and fluid transport, with persistent abnormal loading now known to impair normal fluid expression and imbibition. (35, 36) Colloca and colleagues linked disc degeneration and reduced disc height with increased spinal stiffness and altered vertebral motion responses. (37, 38) Correspondingly, Katz and colleagues' 64-patient CBP series found that improved cervical lordosis and anterior-head translation accompanied increased cervical disc height and reduced pain, stiffness and disability. (39) Posture-EMG evidence and many other studies help affirm the costs of postural failure. (40) A growing body of work in medicine and Chiropractic affirms the push-pull relationship between posture and health status and resilience. (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 39, 40, 41) The proposed optimum is therefore not merely descriptive: it appears to be an approachable therapeutic target.

Predictions and research program

The Human Musical Instrument Hypothesis makes several falsifiable predictions:

1. A reproducible low-cost Human Geometric Envelope can be estimated from sufficiently large, diverse and longitudinal datasets.

2. Increasing D_H will predict broad local perturbation that may extend outward through various channels, impairing interoception, increasing muscular expenditure, altering joint and tissue loading, disturbing gait or balance, impairing proprioception and sensorimotor adaptation, and increasing pain, reduced mobility, disability and degeneration fixes the unintended implication of '*increasing reduced mobility*' after appropriate adjustment for covariates.
3. Duration outside the envelope will add predictive power beyond deviation magnitude alone.
4. Interaction-weighted models will outperform isolated regional measurements.
5. Measurable structural correction that reduces D_H will be followed by reductions in at least some objective and patient-reported costs.
6. Some variables will change envelope geometry, whereas others will chiefly change resilience to deviation.
7. Earlier structural deviation will predict less favourable trajectories of health, degeneration, biological aging and quality of life, but these calculations may be subject to further insight into the confounding power of a possible eustress hormetic buffer.

Initial studies need not solve the entire body. Existing radiographic, motion-analysis, force-plate, gait, EMG, sensorimotor and outcome datasets could test reduced models. Prospective work could begin with linked cervical-thoracic-pelvic variables, then incorporate lower-extremity geometry. Machine-learning methods may help discover interactions, but transparent biomechanical models should remain primary so that the resulting index is clinically interpretable.

The proposal will fail in a useful way if no stable low-cost envelope emerges, if deviation does not predict cost, or if correction does not alter outcomes. It will succeed only if the mathematics improves prediction beyond age, symptoms and familiar regional measures.

Discussion

The Human Musical Instrument Hypothesis is deliberately reductionist. It attempts to highlight the possible complete relationship between structure, stress and function and their ongoing interplay at every level of well-being, aging and resilience. The old Chiropractic analysis of which subluxation is the 'major' or 'minor' may have no bearing. Any subluxation may freight the allostatic capacity of the body and require attention based on patient complaint, measured analysis and how much correction is permissible in the presence of arthritic blockage.

Health depends upon a wide array of genetics, nutrition, psychology, environment, relationships, behaviour and chance. Yet none of these removes the body's dependence upon physical form. Each of our joints may hold an integrity of bounded instability, as in complexity.

Complexity is not an argument against measurement or constraint. Bounded dynamics can still yield useful regularities. Living systems may appear nonlinear initially, but a linearity may emerge as datasets enlarge. The envelope approach accommodates variation without abandoning optimality and interaction without abandoning quantification. The entirety of our physical form may prove to be much like a musical instrument that is exquisitely sensitive to our complete interaction with stress

factors. These stress factors may leave a detailed, lasting imprint upon our frame that any comprehensive approach to wellness must address exactingly.

Conclusion

The Human Musical Instrument Hypothesis intentionally carries a strong implication: precise structure may be as minutely tied to the body's performance as tuning is to an instrument, and persistent displacement can become endogenous stress that can be far more costly than exogenous stress. Human structure has been measured region by region, yet apparently not integrated into a unified model of low-cost whole-body geometry. The Human Geometric Envelope offers a preliminary mathematical framework for doing so. It predicts that departure from a bounded structural optimum increases compensatory and biological cost as a function of magnitude, interaction, loading and time, while individual characteristics modify the envelope or resilience to deviation.

The difference is that the body can conceal detuning through compensation - sometimes for decades. But as the sensitivity of technology and research advances, how early do compensation and cost emerge at a level that should be addressed to protect optimal function through each half-decade of aging? Can noninvasive machine learning help identify these divergences and alert the patient?

David Walls-Kaufman
DC
Independent researcher
Retired chiropractic physician
Crofton, Maryland, US
david.walls.kaufman@gmail.com

Cite: Walls-Kaufman D. Is the human body a musical instrument that needs to be precisely tuned? Asia-Pac Chiropr J. 2026;7.3 https://www.apcj.site/Walls-KaufmanInstrument.pdf

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the intellectual foundation established by Donald Harrison, DC, Deed Harrison, DC, Paul Oakley, DC, Jason Haas, DC, Curtis Fedorchuk, DC, Douglas F. Lightstone, DC, Evan Katz, DC, Christopher Colloca, DC, and the broader Chiropractic BioPhysics community, as well as the contributions of Pettibon, upper-cervical and other chiropractic structural traditions. The author also thanks Takafumi Shiga for his important attractor-state contribution in the *Asia-Pacific Chiropractic Journal*; Christopher Kent, DC, JD, for decades of teaching on structural stress and degeneration; and Robert Weigand, DC, and Robert Feier, DC, for collegial review and discussion.

References

1. Shiga T. Vertebral Subluxation as an Emergent Energy-Conserving Phenotype: An integrative framework of Constructal Law, Free Energy Principle, Dynamical Systems Theory, and Evolutionary Biology. Asia-Pac Chiropr J. 2026;6.3. https://www.apcj.net/papers-issue-6-3/#ShigaEnergyConservingPhenotype
2. American Medical Association. AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment. 6th ed. Chicago, IL: American Medical Association; 2008. https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ama-guides
3. Harrison DD, Janik TJ, Troyanovich SJ, Holland B. Comparisons of lordotic cervical spine curvatures to a theoretical ideal model of the static sagittal cervical spine. Spine. 1996;21(6):667-675. DOI 10.1097/00007632-199603150-00002.
4. Harrison DE, Janik TJ, Harrison DD, Cailliet R, Harmon SF. Can the thoracic kyphosis be modeled with a simple geometric shape? J Spinal Disord Tech. 2002;15(3):213-220. DOI 10.1097/00024720-200206000-00008.
5. Harrison DD, Harrison DE, Janik TJ, Cailliet R, Ferrantelli JR, Haas JW, Holland B. Modeling of the sagittal cervical spine as a method to discriminate hypolordosis. Spine. 2004;29(22):2485-2492. DOI 10.1097/01.BRS.0000144449.90741.7C.
6. Harrison DE, Harrison DD, Betz JJ, Janik TJ, Holland B, Colloca CJ, Haas JW. Increasing the cervical lordosis with Chiropractic BioPhysics seated combined extension-compression and transverse load cervical traction with cervical manipulation: nonrandomized clinical control trial. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2003;26(3):139-151. DOI 10.1016/S0161-4754(02)54106-3.
7. Moustafa IM, Diab AA, Hegazy F, Harrison DE. Does improvement towards a normal cervical sagittal configuration aid in the management of cervical myofascial pain syndrome: a 1-year randomized controlled trial. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2018;19(1):396. DOI 10.1186/s12891-018-2317-y.
8. Moustafa IM, Youssef ASA, Ahbouch A, Harrison DE. Demonstration of autonomic nervous function and cervical sensorimotor control after cervical lordosis rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial. J Athl Train. 2021;56(4):427-436. DOI 10.4085/1062-6050-0481.19.
9. Fedorchuk C, Lightstone DF, McRae C, Kaczor D. Correction of grade 2 spondylolisthesis following a non-surgical structural spinal rehabilitation protocol using lumbar traction: a case study and selective review of literature. J Radiol Case Rep. 2017;11(5):13-26. DOI 10.3941/jrcr.v11i5.2924.
10. Fedorchuk CA, Lightstone DF, Oakley PA, Harrison DE. Correction of a double spondylolisthesis of the lumbar spine utilizing Chiropractic BioPhysics technique: a case report with 1-year follow-up. J Phys Ther Sci. 2021;33(1):89-93. DOI 10.1589/jpts.33.89.
11. Fedorchuk C, Himel B, Lightstone DF. Improved pain and quality of life with corrected thoracic and lumbosacral spondylolisthesis subluxations using CBP: a case study and 1-year follow-up. J Radiol Case Rep. 2022;16(2):21-38. DOI 10.3941/jrcr.v16i2.4413.
12. Fedorchuk CA, Lightstone DF, Fedorchuk CG, Fernandez CJ, DeGeorge SM, Harrison DE. Improvement in physical and mental quality of life following reduction of lumbar spondylolisthesis using Chiropractic BioPhysics corrective spinal rehabilitation: a case series of 117 patients with lumbar anterolisthesis(es). Eur Spine J. Published online February 2, 2026. DOI 10.1007/s00586-026-09774-8.
13. Palmer DD. The Chiropractor's Adjuster: Text-book of the Science, Art and Philosophy of Chiropractic. Portland, OR: Portland Printing House; 1910. https://archive.org/details/chiropractorsad00palm
14. Legaye J, Duval-Beaupère G, Hecquet J, Marty C. Pelvic incidence: a fundamental pelvic parameter for three-dimensional regulation of spinal sagittal curves. Eur Spine J. 1998;7(2):99-103. DOI 10.1007/s005860050038.
15. Paley D. Normal lower limb alignment and joint orientation. In: Herzenberg JE, ed. Principles of Deformity Correction. Berlin: Springer; 2002:1-18. DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-59373-4_1.
16. Hausenloy DJ, Yellon DM. Myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury: a neglected therapeutic target. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(1):92-100. DOI 10.1172/JCI62874.
17. Alizadeh A, Dyck SM, Karimi-Abdolrezaee S. Traumatic spinal cord injury: an overview of pathophysiology, models and acute injury mechanisms. Front Neurol. 2019;10:282. DOI 10.3389/fneur.2019.00282.
18. Loerakker S, Stekelenburg A, Strijkers GJ, et al. Temporal effects of mechanical loading on deformation-induced damage in skeletal muscle tissue. Ann Biomed Eng. 2010;38(8):2577-2587. DOI 10.1007/s10439-010-0002-x.
19. Bobba-Alves N, Juster RP, Picard M. The energetic cost of allostasis and allostatic load. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2022;146:105951. DOI 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105951.
20. Wolff J. The Law of Bone Remodelling. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1986. DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-71031-5
21. Bartoníček J, Naňka O. The true history of the Hueter-Volkmann law. Int Orthop. 2024;48(10):2755-2762. DOI 10.1007/s00264-024-06254-w.

22. Ingber DE. Tensegrity: the architectural basis of cellular mechanotransduction. Annu Rev Physiol. 1997;59:575-599. DOI 10.1146/annurev.physiol.59.1.575

23. Ingber DE. Cellular mechanotransduction: putting all the pieces together again. FASEB J. 2006;20(7):811-827. DOI 10.1096/fj.05-5424rev

24. Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15:802-812. DOI 10.1038/nrm3896

25. Sun Z, Guo SS, Fässler R. Integrin-mediated mechanotransduction. J Cell Biol. 2016;215(4):445-456. DOI 10.1083/jcb.201609037

26. Dupont S, Morsut L, Aragona M, et al. Role of YAP/TAZ in mechanotransduction. Nature. 2011;474:179-183. DOI 10.1038/nature10137

27. Chesler AT, Szczot M, Bharucha-Goebel D, et al. The role of PIEZO2 in human mechanosensation. N Engl J Med. 2016;375:1355-1364. DOI 10.1056/NEJMoa1602812

28. Lityagina O, Dobreva G. The LINC between mechanical forces and chromatin. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:710809. DOI 10.3389/fcell.2021.710809

29. Balestrini JL, Chaudhry S, Sarrazy V, Koehler A, Hinz B. The mechanical memory of lung myofibroblasts. Integr Biol. 2012;4:410-421. DOI 10.1039/c2ib00149g

30. Yang C, Tibbitt MW, Basta L, Anseth KS. Mechanical memory and dosing influence stem-cell fate. Nat Mater. 2014;13:645-652. DOI 10.1038/nmat3889

31. Engler AJ, Sen S, Sweeney HL, Discher DE. Matrix elasticity directs stem-cell lineage specification. Cell. 2006;126:677-689. DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2006.06.044

32. Smith EC, Lewicki MS. Efficient auditory coding. Nature. 2006;439(7079):978-982. DOI 10.1038/nature04485.

33. Rieke F, Warland D, de Ruyter van Steveninck R, Bialek W. Spikes: Exploring the Neural Code. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1997. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262681087/spikes/

34. Meister M, Berry MJ II. The neural code of the retina. Neuron. 1999;22(3):435-450. DOI 10.1016/S0896-6273(00)80700-X.

35. Kraemer J, Kolditz D, Gowin R. Water and electrolyte content of human intervertebral discs under variable load. Spine. 1985;10(1):69-71. DOI 10.1097/00007632-198501000-00011.

36. Yang B, Wendland MF, O'Connell GD. Direct quantification of intervertebral disc water content using MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2020;52(4):1152-1162. DOI 10.1002/jmri.27171.

37. Colloca CJ, Keller TS, Peterson TK, Seltzer DE. Comparison of dynamic posteroanterior spinal stiffness to plain film radiographic images of lumbar disk height. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2003;26(4):233-241. DOI 10.1016/S0161-4754(03)00005-8.

38. Colloca CJ, Keller TS, Moore RJ, Harrison DE, Gunzburg R. Validation of a noninvasive dynamic spinal stiffness assessment methodology in an animal model of intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine. 2009;34(18):1900-1905. DOI 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181afea9d.

39. Katz EA, Katz SB, Katz SF, Fedorchuk CA, Fedorchuk CG, Lightstone DF. Increased cervical disc height and decreased neck pain and disability following improvement in cervical lordosis and posture using Chiropractic BioPhysics. Bioengineering. 2026;13(2):229. DOI 10.3390/bioengineering13020229.

40. McLean L. The effect of postural correction on muscle activation amplitudes recorded from the cervicobrachial region. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2005;15(6):527-535. DOI 10.1016/j.jelekin.2005.06.003.

41. Moustafa IM, Shousha TM, Arumugam A, Harrison DE. Is thoracic kyphosis relevant to pain, autonomic nervous system function, disability, and cervical sensorimotor control in patients with chronic nonspecific neck pain? J Clin Med. 2023;12(11):3707. DOI 10.3390/jcm12113707.